

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address & MMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. (2023) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09 805,039	03 14 2001	Kazuhiro Kobayashi	204663US2	1665	
22850	7590 01 15 2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			HOANG, QUOC DINH		
			ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/805,039		KOBAYASHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Quoc D Hoang		2818				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app		sheet with the co	rrespondence addre	ess			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u>							
2a) 🗌	, —	s action is non-fin						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		,					
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from considerat	tion.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requireme	nt.					
	on Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		die by the Even	inor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
, -	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				pplication).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1		PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-				

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

1. The previous Office Action has been withdrawn due to a new Restriction/Election Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - ١. Group I, Claims 1-5, 11-16, 22, 25 and 28 drawn to a thin film transistor array, classified in class 257, subclass 59.
 - 11. Group II, Claims 6-10, 17-21, 23, 24 and 26-27, drawn to a method for manufacturing a thin film transistor array, classified in class 438, subclass 149.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the process of the Group II invention, since the device of group I invention could be made by processes different from those of group II invention, for example, the gate line in claim 3 can be formed by electrode plating instead of photography and etching step.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/805,039

Art Unit: 2818

3. If the Applicants elect **Group I**, a further species restriction is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) A thin film transistor array substrate (claims 1-5), and 2) A thin film transistor array for a liquid crystal display (claims 11-16, 22, 25 and 28).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

4. If the Applicants elect **Group II**, a further species restriction is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) Method of manufacturing a thin film transistor array substrate (claims 6-10), and 2) Method of manufacturing a thin film transistor array of a liquid crystal display (claims 17-21, 23, 24 and 26-27).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 2818

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 306-5795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8.00 AM to 5.00 PM. If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone numbers of the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Quoc Hoang January 12, 2003,

HOAINO PRIMARY EXAMINER